

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

RICHARD ROY SCOTT,

Plaintiff,

v.

KELLY CUNNINGHAM, *et al.*,

Defendant.

No. MC05-5029

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Richard Roy Scott's "Motion for Some Clarification Dk # 68 Order" (Dkt. # 73) and "Motion for Clarification Dk # 68" (Dkt. # 77). As stated in this Court's "Order" (Dkt. # 54) and "Order Denying Motion for Reconsideration and Directing Clerk to Provide Copy of Complaint" (Dkt. # 68), plaintiff is unable to verify "under penalty of perjury that none of the issues raised in the proposed complaint have been litigated in the past by the plaintiff" (April 5, 2005 Order Adopting Report and Recommendation at 24). Plaintiff has already filed a complaint asserting constitutional and tort claims arising out of the February 2006 confiscation of his computer. The proposed complaint (Dkt. # 50), which seeks to litigation those same claims against a different group of defendants, has therefore been rejected.

ORDER

1           The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order, along with a copy of  
2 Dkt. # 50, 54, 68, 73, and 77, to plaintiff.

3  
4           DATED this 25th day of July, 2006.

5  
6           

7           Robert S. Lasnik,  
8           Chief Judge, United States District Court  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26